arXiv:1612.06410v1 [quant-ph] 19 Dec 2016

Fiber bundle structure of mixed quantum states based on a generalized flag manifold

Hoshang Heydari
School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundament al Sciences (IPM),
P.O.Box: 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

The quantum phase space of an isospectral mixed quantum states can be realized as a co-adjoint orbit of a Lie group equipped with a specific Kähler structure. In this work we will further investigate the geometrical structure of quantum phase space based on a generalized flag manifold. In particular we will construct a fiber bundle over the quantum phase space based on symplectic reduction and purification method. We will also propose a mechanical connection on the Hilbert space of the mixed quantum states which enable us to further discuss some applications of our geometric framework.

1 Introduction

In geometric quantum mechanics, the systems are described based on their underlying geometrical structures [1, 2, 3, 4]. Recently, it has been shown that such geometrical structures of quantum theory provide us with useful information on the foundations of the theory with many applications in quantum science and technology [5, 6, 7, 8]. In geometric formulation of quantum mechanics, the projective Hilbert space is constructed by general Hopf fibration of a hypersphere and usually is called the quantum phase space of a pure quantum state. However, pure quantum states are a small subclass of all quantum states. On the other hand, mixed quantum states represented by density operators ρ are the most general states in quantum mechanics.
If on a manifold a Lie group acts transitively then it is called a homogeneous space. Moreover, if the Lie algebra of the Lie group has a reductive decomposition then the manifold is called reductive homogeneous space. There are two important classes of reductive homogeneous spaces namely, symmetric spaces and generalized flag manifold. In this work we will show that the quantum phase space of mixed quantum states is a a generalized flag manifold which is equipped with a symplectic form that also coincides with a specific Kähler structure which is called Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau Kähler (KKS) symplectic form on the co-adjoint orbit and a Riemannian metric [9]. Then we will construct a fiber bundle over the quantum phase space based on the symplectic reduction method following a similar construction given in [10, 11]. Finally we will define a me-

chanical connection on the total space which alow us to give the first application of the construction, namely a geometric phase based on the holonomy group.

2 Geometric framework

In this section we will review our geometric framework for mixed quantum states based on KKS structure. First, we will review the basic definition and construction of the mixed quantum states based on a kähler structure [9]. Then, we will discuss symplectic form and an almost complex structures on the quantum phase space. Let

$$\mathrm{Her}(\mathcal{H}) = \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) : A^\dagger = A\} \tag{2.0.1}$$

be the space of Hermitian matrices. Then the orbit of $U(n)$ action on $\mathrm{Her}(\mathcal{H})$ is the submanifolds with real eigenvalues which are diagonalizable

$$\mathcal{D}(\sigma) = \{\rho \in \mathrm{Her}(\mathcal{H}) : \text{ with spectrum } \sigma = (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n\}, \tag{2.0.2}$$

that is $\rho = UDU^{-1}$, where D is diagonal and $U \in U(n)$. Thus the coadjoint of $U(n)$ are the same as conjugacy classes of D.

Proposition 2.0.1 *Let $\sigma = (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)$ consists of k distinct values with multiplicities $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k$. Then the orbit space $\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$ is diffeomorphic with homogeneous space $U(n)/U(n_1) \times U(n_2) \times \cdots \times U(n_k) \cong SU(n)/S(U(n_1) \times U(n_2) \times \cdots \times U(n_k))$.*

Proof 2.0.2 *Let $\mu_1 < \mu_2 < \cdots < \mu_n$ be the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$. Then any element in $\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$ is determined by the sequence of its eigenspaces $Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_k$, where $\dim Q_i = n_i$ with n_i being the multiplicity of μ_i for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Note that Q_i are mutually orthogonal and the stabilizer of that matrix corresponding to $Q_i = \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^{n^i} \times \{0\}$ is $U(n_1) \times U(n_2) \times \cdots \times U(n_k)$ which prove the proposition.*

Corollary 2.0.3 *If $p_1 > p_2 = \cdots = p_n$, then $\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$.*

proof follows from the observation that a hermitian matrix with spectrum σ is completely determined by its p_1-eigenspace.

2.1 Symplectic form

Let $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ be the Lie algebra of $U(n)$. Then a map $\mathrm{Her}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{u}(n)$ defined by $\rho \longmapsto i\rho$ is an isomorphism from real vector space $\mathrm{Her}(\mathcal{H})$ to a real vector space $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ of skew-hermitian matrices. Now we can equip the space $\mathrm{Her}(\mathcal{H})$ with a symmetric bilinear form $\mathrm{Her}(\mathcal{H}) \times \mathrm{Her}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $(X, Y) \longmapsto \mathrm{Tr}(XY) = \mathrm{Tr}(X^\dagger Y)$ which is invariant under conjugation. We also can identify $\mathrm{Her}(\mathcal{H}) = i\mathfrak{u}(n)$ with its dual $\mathfrak{u}(n)^*$. Now, for any $\rho \in \mathrm{Her}(\mathcal{H})$ we define a skew-symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{u}(n) = i\mathrm{Her}(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$\omega_\rho(X, Y) = \frac{1}{i\hbar}\mathrm{Tr}([X, Y]\rho). \tag{2.1.1}$$

The kernel of ω_ρ is defined by

$$\mathrm{Ker}\omega_\rho = \{Y \in \mathfrak{u}(n) : \frac{1}{i\hbar}[Y, \rho] = 0\}. \tag{2.1.2}$$

Note that ω_ρ is the stabilizer of ρ for the action of $U(n)$ on the space of hermitian matrices by conjugation. Now, let $\Lambda_\rho : U(n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$. Then we have $T_1\Lambda_\rho : \mathfrak{u}(n) \longrightarrow T_\rho\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$ with $\mathrm{Im}(T_1\Lambda_\rho) = T_\rho\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(T_1\Lambda_\rho) = \mathrm{Ker}\omega_\rho$. Thus it is also generated by the vector fields $[X, \rho]$ and ω_ρ gives a non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form $\tilde{\omega}_\rho$ on $T_\rho\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$ as follows

$$\tilde{\omega}_\rho([X, \rho], [Y, \rho]) = \frac{1}{i\hbar}\mathrm{Tr}([X, Y]\rho) \tag{2.1.3}$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{u}(n)$. It is also easy to prove that $\tilde{\omega}_\rho$ is close, that is $d\tilde{\omega}_\rho = 0$.

2.2 Almost complex structure

Here we review the construction of an almost complex structure on the quantum phase space $\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$. We decompose the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ as follows

$$\mathfrak{u}(n) = \mathfrak{u}(n_1) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{u}(n_k) \oplus \mathfrak{m}, \tag{2.2.1}$$

where $\mathfrak{m}$ is a complex vector space. We can write an explicit matrix form for any skew-hermitian matrix by

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & X_{1,2} & X_{1,3} & \cdots & X_{1,k} \\ -X_{1,2}^\dagger & A_2 & X_{2,3} & \cdots & X_{2,k} \\ -X_{1,3}^\dagger & -X_{2,3}^\dagger & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \\ -X_{1,k-1}^\dagger & -X_{2,k-1}^\dagger & & \ddots & X_{k-1,k} \\ -X_{1,k}^\dagger & -X_{2,k}^\dagger & \cdots & -X_{k-1,k}^\dagger & A_k \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.2.2}$$

where $A_i \in \mathfrak{u}(n_i)$ and $X_{i,j}$ are complex matrices. Now let $X = M - \bigoplus_{i=1}^k A_i \in \mathfrak{m}$. Then we define the complex structure $j(X)$ by replacing $X_{i,j}$ by $iX_{i,j}$, in the matrix X. With this complex structure $\mathfrak{m}$ is isomorphic to the tangent space of the homogeneous space $U(n)/U(n_1) \times U(n_2) \times \cdots \times U(n_k)$ at the point image of $\psi \in U(n)$. Now we define a diagonal matrix with $U(n_1) \times U(n_2) \times \cdots \times U(n_k)$ being its stabilizer as follows

$$P(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} p_1\mathbf{1}_{n_1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & p_2\mathbf{1}_{n_2} & & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & p_k\mathbf{1}_{n_k} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.2.3}$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{n_i}$ is the $n_i \times n_i$ identity matrix for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Note that any element in the tangent space of the quantum phase space $T_\sigma\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$ can be written as $[X, P(\sigma)]$ for

$X \in \mathfrak{m}$. Note also that X is unique. Next we define a complex structure J_σ on $T_\sigma\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$ by $J_\sigma[X, P(\sigma)] = [j(X), P(\sigma)]$. Now any elements in $T_\rho\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$, where $\rho = U(n)P(\sigma)U^{-1}(n)$ can be written as $[U(n)XU^{-1}(n), \rho]$ with $X \in \mathfrak{m}$. Moreover, an almost complex structure J on $\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$ can be written as $J_\rho[U(n)XU^{-1}(n), \rho] = [U(n)j(X)U^{-1}(n), \rho]$.
The complex structure preserves $\tilde{\omega}$, since $\tilde{\omega}(JX, JY) = \tilde{\omega}(X, Y)$. And $\tilde{\omega}(X, JY) = g(X, Y)$ define a Riemannian metric on $\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$. Thus the quantum phase space can be equipped by a hermitian inner-product

$$h(X, Y) = g(X, Y) + i\tilde{\omega}(X, Y), \tag{2.2.4}$$

where $g(X, Y) = \tilde{\omega}(X, JY)$ is a Riemannian metric on quantum phase space. The importance of this form stems from the fact that if A is the expectation value function of a Hermitian operator $\hat{A}$, that is

$$A(\rho) = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho\hat{A}), \tag{2.2.5}$$

and X_A is the Hamiltonian vector field associated with A, which is implicitly defined by the identity $dA(X) = \omega(X_A, X)$, then

$$X_A(\rho) = \frac{1}{i\hbar}[\hat{A}, \rho]. \tag{2.2.6}$$

Thus, in particular, the von Neumann equation on $\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$ is the Hamiltonian flow equation of the expected energy function with respect to the form (2.1.1).

3 Fiber bundle structure of the quantum phase space

In this section we will attempt to construct a fiber bundle over the quantum phase space based on the symplectic reduction and the purification method. We will follow the construction that has been considered in [10, 11]. In particular, first we will show that the map from $SU(n)$ to $S(U(n_1) \times U(n_2) \times \cdots \times U(n_k)) = SU(\sigma)$ is a Riemannian submersion and then construct a fiber bundle over the quantum phase space based on symplectic reduction.

3.1 Riemannian submersion

A reductive homogeneous spaces has a fixed decomposition of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(n) = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ such that $\mathrm{Ad}(\mathfrak{h})\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m}$, where $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{su}(n_1) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(n_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{su}(n_k)$. Moreover, a homogeneous space is called reductive if there exists a decomposition of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(n) = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ such that

$$Ad(SU(\sigma))\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m}. \tag{3.1.1}$$

Let $\pi : SU(n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\sigma) = SU(n)/SU(\sigma)$ be a natural projection with $\rho = \psi SU(\sigma) \in \mathcal{D}(\sigma)$. Then

$$\pi_{*\psi} : T_\psi SU(n) \longrightarrow T_\rho\mathcal{D}(\sigma) \tag{3.1.2}$$



Figure 1: Illustration of the bundle π and the decomposition of $T_\psi SU(n)$.

induces an isomorphism

$$T_\rho\mathcal{D}(\sigma) \cong T_\psi SU(n) \cong \mathfrak{su}(n)/\mathfrak{h} \cong \mathfrak{m}. \tag{3.1.3}$$

The map $\pi : SU(n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\sigma) = SU(n)/SU(\sigma)$ is a fibration and also a submersion. Now, he Killing form $B(X,Y)$ of $SU(n)$ is non-degenrate on $\mathfrak{m}$, thus the symplectic form ω can be written uniquely in terms of B-antisymmetric linear transformation $\varphi : \mathfrak{m} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ on $\mathfrak{m}$ such that

$$\omega(X,Y) = B(\varphi(X),Y). \tag{3.1.4}$$

One can show that for a closed form ω, φ can be written as $ad(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$. In this case we get

$$\omega(X,Y) = B([\gamma,X],Y) = B(\gamma,[X,Y]). \tag{3.1.5}$$

Let the isotropy $SU(\sigma)$ of the manifold $SU(n)/SU(\sigma)$ be represented as block diagonal so that in the case of $SU(\sigma)$ maximal torus (hence largest dimensional co-adjoint orbit), $SU(\sigma)$ consists of the diagonal matrices. The tangent bundle $TSU(n)$ can be decomposed to vertical $VSU(n)$ sub bundle and a horizontal sub bundle $HSU(n)$, that is

$$TSU(n) = HSU(n) \oplus VSU(n), \tag{3.1.6}$$

where $VSU(n) = \text{Ker}d\pi$ and $HSU(n) = VSU(n)^\perp$ with $\perp$ be the orthogonal complement with respect to $SU(n)$. Now, a Riemannian submersion $\pi : SU(n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\sigma) = SU(n)/SU(\sigma)$ is a submersion with the property that $d_\psi\pi$ is an isometry when restricted to $HSU(n) = (\text{Ker}d\pi)^\perp$.

Theorem 3.1.1 *Let $(U(n),B)$ be a Riemannian manifold and let $SU(\sigma) \times SU(n) \longrightarrow SU(n)$ be a smooth, free and proper action, where $SU(\sigma)$ acts by isometries of $SU(n)$. Then there is a unique Riemannian metric $g(X,Y)$ on $SU(n)/SU(\sigma)$ such that $\pi : SU(n) \longrightarrow SU(n)/SU(\sigma)$ is a Riemannian submersion.*

Proof 3.1.2 *Let $\rho \in SU(n)/SU(\sigma)$, and $X, Y \in T_\rho SU(n)/SU(\sigma)$. Then for any $\psi \in \pi^{-1}(\rho)$ there exists unique lifts $\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y} \in H_\psi SU(n)$ such that*

$$d\pi_\psi(\widetilde{X}) = X, \qquad d\pi_\psi(\widetilde{Y}) = Y. \tag{3.1.7}$$

Now, set

$$g_\rho(X, Y) = B_\psi(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}), \tag{3.1.8}$$

which will make $T_\rho SU(n)/SU(\sigma)$ isometric to $(H_\psi SU(n), B)$. Now, one can show that g_ρ does not depend on the choice of ψ in the fiber $\pi^{-1}(\rho)$ and (g_ρ) is a smooth family. The first follows from the fact that, if $\pi(\psi) = \pi(\varphi)$, then there is some $U \in SU(n)$ and a diffeomorphism $\tau_U : SU(n)/SU(\sigma) \longrightarrow SU(n)/SU(\sigma)$ such that $\tau_U(\psi) = \varphi$ and $(d\tau_U)_\psi$ induces an isometry between $H_\psi SU(n)$ and $H_\varphi SU(n)$ which also commute with the map π. Thus g_ρ does not depend on the choice of $\rho \in \pi^{-1}(\rho)$.

Let E_α be a Killing orthonormal basis for their Killing-orthogonal complement. So, in the case $SU(\sigma)$ is a diagonal matrix, this would mean that the basis E_α for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ are generated by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e^{ij} - e^{ji})$ for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, where e^{ij} is the matrix with 1 in position (i, j) and zero elsewhere. (We could multiply it by $\sqrt{-1}$ to make the model a skew-Hermitian rather than Hermitian). These same E_α are a basis for the tangent space $T\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$ to $SU(n)/SU(\sigma)$. The induced-by-Riemannian submersion metric for $SU(n)/SU(\sigma)$ is the same. Namely the E_α continue to be orthonormal. This means that we have

$$g(E_\alpha, E_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{3.1.9}$$

Thus we have a Riemannian submersion $SU(n) \longrightarrow SU(n)/SU(\sigma)$, where the bi-invariant metric on $SU(n)$ is generated by the Killing form $B(X, Y)$ defined explicitly as

$$B(X, Y) = \mathrm{Tr}(adX \circ adY) = 2n\mathrm{Tr}(XY) \tag{3.1.10}$$

and the symplectic form is the same as the KKS form ω_{KKS}. Moreover on $SU(n)/SU(\sigma)$ the metric is $g_{KKS}(X, Y) = \omega_{KKS}(X, JY)$. Note also that $B(X, Y)$ is Ad-invariant, that is $B(X, Y) = B(Ad(U)X, Ad(U)Y)$ for all $U \in SU(n)$ and $X, Y \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$.

3.2 Reduced bundles

Consider a density operator with k- dimensional support having a spectrum given by $\sigma = (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k)$, where p_i, for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$ are positive eigenvalues listed in descending order. Next we identify the $\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$ as $SU(n)$-orbit with a fix spectrum σ. Moreover, we let $\mathbb{C}^k$ be a k-dimensional Hilbert space which is span by the following orthonormal basis $\{|i\rangle\}_{i=1}^k$. Furthermore, let $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{H})$ be the space of linear operator from $\mathbb{C}^k$ to $\mathcal{H}$. Let $SU(n)$ be the set of map ψ in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\psi^\dagger \psi = P(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i |i\rangle\langle i|. \tag{3.2.1}$$

In the next step we define a principal fiber bundle which is equivalent with the coadjoint orbits

$$\psi : SU(n) \longrightarrow SU(n)/SU(\sigma), \tag{3.2.2}$$

where $SU(\sigma) = S(U(n_1) \times U(n_2) \times \cdots \times U(n_k))$. In the simplest case when $\sigma = (1)$, then $\mathcal{S}(\sigma)$ is the unit sphere in the Hilbert space and $\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$ is the projective space over $\mathcal{H}$.
Next we note that the unitary group $SU(k)$ acts on $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{H})$ from the right by isometric and symplectic transformations, that is $R_U(\psi) = \psi U$, where $U \in SU(k)$. Moreover, we write $\mathfrak{su}(k)$ for Lie algebra of $SU(k)$ and let $\hat{\xi}$ be the fundamental vector field corresponding to $\xi \in \mathfrak{su}(k)$ which is defined by

$$\hat{\xi}(\psi) = \frac{d}{dt}\left(R_{\exp(t\xi)}(\psi)\right)_{t=0} = \psi\xi. \tag{3.2.3}$$

Furthermore, let $\mathfrak{su}(k)^*$ be the space of functionals on $\mathfrak{su}(k)$, where every element of $\mathfrak{su}(k)^*$ is of the form μ_ϱ for each Hermitian operator η on $\mathbb{C}^k$ with $\mu_\varrho(\xi) = i\hbar\mathrm{Tr}(\varrho\xi)$. Now, we define the momentum map $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{su}(k)$ by $\mathcal{J}(\psi) = \mu_{\psi^\dagger\psi}$. One can show that the momentum map $\mathcal{J}$ is a coadjoint-equivariant momentum map for the $SU(k)$ action on $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{H})$.
Let $H(\mathbb{C}^k)$ be the space of functional on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(k)$. That is $H(\mathbb{C}^k)$ are represented by density operators on $\mathbb{C}^k$ and $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow H(\mathbb{C}^k)$ since $\psi^\dagger\psi$ is a density operator on $\mathbb{C}^k$ in case ψ is a normalized map from $\mathbb{C}^k$ to $\mathcal{H}$. Thus the momentum map $\mathcal{J}$ maps $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{H})$ onto $H(\mathbb{C}^k)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{J}^{-1}(\eta_\varrho)$ is a subset of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^k, \mathcal{H})$ for very density operator ϱ on $\mathbb{C}^k$ since $\mu_\varrho = \mu_{\psi^\dagger\psi}$ gives $\mathrm{Tr}(\psi^\dagger\psi) = \mathrm{Tr}(\eta) = 1$. Note that two density operators on $\mathbb{C}^k$ are unitary equivalent, that is their corresponding functionals belong to the same coadjoint orbit in $\mathfrak{su}(k)^*$ if and only if they have same spectra. Thus the orbit corresponding to the spectrum σ contains the density operator $P(\sigma)$ and $SU(n) = \mathcal{J}^{-1}(\mu_{P(\sigma)})$.

Proposition 3.2.1 *The functional $\mu_{P(\sigma)}$ is a regular value for $\mathcal{J}$. Moreover, the isotropy group of $\mu_{P(\sigma)}$ is $SU(\sigma)$. Furthermore, $SU(\sigma)$ acts freely and properly on $SU(n)$.*

Next we will define a symplectic form on $SU(n)$ based on the following theorem is called the symplectic reduction theorem [12].

Theorem 3.2.2 *Consider a symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{S}, \Omega)$ endow with a Hamiltonian left action of a Lie group G and a momentum map $J : \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. Suppose that $\mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a regular value of J and the group G_μ acts freely and properly on $J^{-1}(\mu)$. Then the reduced phase space $\mathcal{S}_\mu = J^{-1}(\mu)/G_\mu$ has a unique symplectic form ω_μ such that $\pi^*\omega_\mu = i_\mu^*\Omega$, where $i_\mu : J^{-1}(\mu) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is inclusion and $\pi_\mu : J^{-1}(\mu) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_\mu$ is a canonical projection.*

Theorem 3.2.3 *$SU(n)$ admits a unique symplectic form $\Omega|_{SU(n)}$ such that $\pi^*\omega_{KKS} = \Omega_{SU(n)}$.*

Proof 3.2.4 *The orbit map $\pi_P : SU(n) \longrightarrow SU(n)/SU(\sigma)$ is a $SU(\sigma)$- bundle and the reduced phase space $SU(n)/SU(\sigma)$ has a unique symplectic form ω_{KK} such that $\pi_P^*\omega_{KKS} = \Omega|_{SU(n)}$. The projection π if factorized through a surjective map*

$$SU(n)/SU(\sigma) \longrightarrow D(\sigma). \tag{3.2.4}$$

The map π is also injective. Thus if $\psi\psi^\dagger = \varphi\varphi^\dagger$, then $\varphi = \psi U$, where $U = \psi^*\varphi P^{-1}(\sigma)$ is a unitary operator that commute with $P(\sigma)$ and belongs to $SU(\sigma)$.

3.3 Mechanical connection on the quantum phase space

When the vector field of $SU(\sigma)$ acts on $SU(n)$ provides us with a canonical isomorphism between the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(\sigma)$ and the fibers in vertical tangent bundle $VU(n)$. Moreover, $HSU(n)$ is the kernel bundle of mechanical connection

$$\mathcal{A} : TSU(n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{su}(\sigma) \tag{3.3.1}$$

defined by $\mathcal{A}_\psi = \mathbb{I}_\psi^{-1}\mathbb{J}_\psi$, where $\mathbb{J} : TSU(n) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{su}(\sigma)^*$ is metric momentum map and $\mathbb{I} : TSU(n)\times\mathfrak{su}(\sigma) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{su}(\sigma)^*$ is the locked inertia tensor defined by $\mathbb{J}_\psi(X)(\xi) = B(X, \hat{\xi}(\psi))$ and $\mathbb{I}_\psi\xi(\eta) = B(\hat{\xi}(\psi), \hat{\eta}(\psi))$ respectively. We note that $\mathbb{I}$ is of constant bi-invariant type because $\mathbb{I}_\psi$ is an adjoint-invariant form on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(\sigma)$ that is independent of the ψ. Hence $\mathbb{I}$ defines a metric on $\mathfrak{su}(\sigma)$ as follows

$$\xi \cdot \eta = \hbar \mathrm{Tr}\left((\xi\eta)\, P(\sigma)\right). \tag{3.3.2}$$

Under assumption that this metric is valid on our setup we can derive an explicit formula for the mechanical connection. We will use this metric to derive an explicit expression for the mechanical connection $\mathcal{A}_\psi$.

Proposition 3.3.1 *Let $X \in T\mathcal{S}(\sigma)$ and $\Pi_i = \sum_{i=m_1+\cdots+m_{i-1}+1}^{m_1+\cdots+m_i} |i\rangle\langle i|$. Then*

$$\mathcal{A}_\psi(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Pi_i\psi^\dagger X\Pi_i P^{-1}(\sigma). \tag{3.3.3}$$

Proof 3.3.2 *Note that $\Pi_i\psi^\dagger X\Pi_i P^{-1}(\sigma) \in \mathfrak{su}(\sigma)$. Since*

$$\Pi_i\psi^\dagger X\Pi_i P^{-1}(\sigma) = P^{-1}(\sigma)\psi^\dagger X\Pi_i, \tag{3.3.4}$$

shows that $\Pi_i\psi^\dagger X\Pi_i P^{-1}(\sigma)$ commutes with $P(\sigma)$. Using the definition of $P(\sigma) = \psi^\dagger\psi$ one can show that $X^\dagger\psi + \psi^\dagger X = 0$. Thus $\Pi_i\psi^\dagger X\Pi_i P^{-1}(\sigma)$ is anti-Hermitian, that is

$$(\Pi_i\psi^\dagger X\Pi_i P^{-1}(\sigma))^\dagger + \Pi_i\psi^\dagger X\Pi_i P^{-1}(\sigma) = \Pi_i \overbrace{(X^\dagger\psi + \psi^\dagger X)}^{=0} \Pi_i P^{-1}(\sigma) = 0. \tag{3.3.5}$$

Finally, we can get an explicit expression for the mechanical connection as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \Pi_i\psi^\dagger X\Pi_i P^{-1}(\sigma)\cdot\xi &= \hbar\mathrm{Tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\Pi_i(X\psi\xi X)\Pi_i\right) \\ &= \mathrm{Tr}\left(X\psi\xi\right) \\ &= \mathbb{J}_\psi(X)(\xi). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.6}$$

The above result is very important in proof of a geometric uncertainty relation for mixed quantum states which we will consider in the following section.

4 Application: geometric phase for mixed quantum states

We will start we deriving a geometric phase for mixed quantum states. We will show that the geometric phase will concises with one we have derived based on fiber bundle approach to geometry of mixed quantum states which also as we know coincides with the generalized interferometric geometric phase [13]. It was Uhlmann [7, 8] who has first developed a theory for geometric phase of mixed quantum states based on purification. Another approach to geometric phase for mixed quantum states was proposed based on quantum interferometry. Recently, we have introduced an operational geometric phase for mixed quantum states, based on holonomies which generalizes the standard definition of geometric phase for mixed states for both nondegenerate and degenerate mixed states. Let ρ be a curve in $\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$. Then the horizontal lifts of ρ defines a parallel transport operator $\Pi[\rho]$ from the fibre over $\rho(0) = \rho_0$ onto the fibre $\rho(\tau)$ as follows



Figure 2: Illustration of $\psi_\parallel(\tau)$.

$$\Pi[\rho]\psi_0 = \psi_\parallel(\tau) = \psi(t)\exp_+\left(-\int_0^t \mathcal{A}_\psi(\dot{\psi})dt\right), \tag{4.0.7}$$

where $\psi_\parallel(\tau)$ is horizontal lift of ρ extending from ψ_0 and $\exp_+$ is the positive time-ordered exponential and $\mathcal{A}$ is the mechanical connection defined by equation (3.3.3). The geometric phase of ρ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_g(\rho) &= \arg \mathrm{Tr}(P(\sigma)\mathrm{Hol}(\rho)) \\ &= \arg \mathrm{Tr}(\psi_0^\dagger \Pi[\rho]\psi_0) \end{aligned} \tag{4.0.8}$$

where $\mathrm{Hol}(\rho)$ is the holonomy of ρ. Since we have access to all elements of the holonomy group of ρ, we are also able to defined higher order geometric phases for mixed quantum states. We will not discuss higher geometric phases here and refer the interested reader to [13].

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have investigated the geometrical structure of mixed quantum states. After reviewing the construction of quantum phase space based on the co-adjoint method, we have identified the quantum phase space with a reductive homogeneous space. The main result of the paper is the construction of a fiber bundle over the quantum phase space based on the symplectic reduction. As an application of the result, we have consider the construction a geometric phase based on the holonomy group which is defined by the connection on the total space of the fiber bundle. In the continuation of this geometric framework for mixed quantum states, we will investigate many possible applications in the field of quantum information and quantum computation.

Acknowledgments: The author acknowledges useful email conversations with Professor R. Montgomery. The author also acknowledges useful comments and discussions with Professor R. Roknizadeh and Ole Andersson.

References

[1] C. Günther. Prequantum bundles and projective hilbert geometries. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 16:447–464, 1977.

[2] T.W.B. Kibble. Geometrization of quantum mechanics. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 65:189–201, 1979.

[3] A. Ashtekar and T. A. Schilling. Geometrical formulation of quantum mechanics. In Alex Harvey, editor, *On Einstein's Path*, pages 23–65. Springer-Verlag, 1998.

[4] D. C. Brody and L. P. Hughston. Geometrization of statistical mechanics. *Proceedings: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 455(1985):1683–1715, 1999.

[5] P. Zanardi and M. Rasetti. Holonomic quantum computation. *Phys. Lett. A*, 264(2–3):94–99, 1999.

[6] P. Solinas, P. Zanardi, N. Zanghì, and F. Rossi. Holonomic quantum gates: A semiconductor-based implementation. *Phys. Rev. A*, 67:062315, Jun 2003.

[7] A. Uhlmann. On berry phases along mixtures of states. *Ann. Phys.*, 501(1):63–69, 1989.

[8] A. Uhlmann. A gauge field governing parallel transport along mixed states. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 21(3):229–236, 1991.

[9] H. Heydari, A geometric framework for mixed quantum states based on a Kähler structure, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 48 (2015) 255301.

[10] R. Montgomery, Heisenberg and Isoholonomic Inequalities, Symplectic geometry and mathematical physics (Aix-en-Provence, 1990), 303–325, Progr. Math., 99, Birkhauser.

[11] O. Andersson and H. Heydari. Geometric uncertainty relation for mixed quantum states. *J. Math. Phys.*, 55(4):–, 2014.

[12] J. Marsden and A. Weinstein. Reduction of symplectic manifolds with symmetry. *Reports on Mathematical Physics*, 5(1):121 – 130, 1974.

[13] O. Andersson and H. Heydari. Operational geometric phase for mixed quantum states. *New J. Phys.*, 15(5):053006, 2013.